

Cheshire and Wirral Partnership



NHS Foundation Trust

STANDARDISED REPORT COMMUNICATION

REPORT DETAILS

Demant and beat	Mand Daths Olaffia at a sala Anali 0045
Report subject:	Ward Daily Staffing Levels April 2015
Agenda ref. no:	Click here to enter text.
Report to (meeting):	Board of Directors
Action required:	Information and noting
Date of meeting:	27/05/2015
Presented by:	Avril Devaney

Which strategic objectives this report provides information about:	
Deliver high quality, integrated and innovative services that improve outcomes	Yes
Ensure meaningful involvement of service users, carers, staff and the wider community	No
Be a model employer and have a caring, competent and motivated workforce	Yes
Maintain and develop robust partnerships with existing and potential new stakeholders	No
Improve quality of information to improve service delivery, evaluation and planning	Yes
Sustain financial viability and deliver value for money	Yes
Be recognised as an open, progressive organisation that is about care, well-being and partnership	Yes
Which CQC quality of service domains this report reflects:	-
Safe services	Yes
Effective services	Yes
Caring services	Yes
Well-led services	Yes
Services that are responsive to people's needs	Yes
Which Monitor quality governance framework/ well-led domains this report re-	flects:
Strategy	No
Capability and culture	Yes
Process and structures	Yes
Measurement	Yes
Does this report provide any information to update any current strategic risks	? If so, which?
See current risk register in the agenda of the public meeting of the Board of Directors at http://www.cwp.nhs.uk/about-us/board-members/our-board-meetings	No
Click here to enter text.	
Does this report indicate any new strategic risks? If so, describe and indicate	risk score:
See current integrated governance strategy: CWP policies – policy code FR1	No
Click here to enter text.	

REPORT BRIEFING

Situation – a concise statement of the purpose of this report

This report details the ward daily staffing levels during the month of April 2015. The planned and actual hours for registered nurses (RN) and clinical support workers (CSWs) for April 2015 have been submitted to UNIFY using the template supplied by NHS England (appendix 1). The themes arising within this monthly submission continue to mirror those that have arisen previously. These themes identify how patient safety is being maintained on a shift by shift basis.

Background – *contextual and background information pertinent to the situation/ purpose of the report* The monthly reporting of daily staffing levels is a requirement of NHS England and the National Quality Board in order to appraise the Board and the public of staffing levels within in-patient units. CWP undertook a comprehensive review of ward staffing levels between Oct and Dec 2013 with 6 monthly follow up reviews in May 2014 and December 2014. A programme has been established to take forward the recommendations from the review including staffing levels and a programme of continuous improvement. The Director of Operations is the lead executive for the programme supported by the Director of Nursing who has overview of the Ward Staffing work stream and reports directly to the Board of Directors in line with the NQB requirements.

Assessment – analysis and considerations of options and risks

During April 2015 patient safety was maintained by nurses working additional unplanned hours, nursing staff cross covering across wards, the multi-disciplinary team and ward manager supporting nursing staff in the delivery of planned care and patient care being prioritised over non-direct care activities.

Recruitment of both registered and non-registered nursing staff has been continual over the past 18 months and continues to be a significant issue in the East and West localities. Planned over recruitment and refined recruitment practices are anticipated to improve this situation moving forward.

Recommendation – what action/ recommendation is needed, what needs to happen and by when?

The Board of Directors are recommended to note the report.

Who/ which g above meetin	roup has approved this report for receipt at the g?	Ward Staffing Project Team							
Contributing	authors:	Maria Nelligan							
Distribution to other people/ groups/ meetings:									
Version	Name/ group/ meeting	Date issued							
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.							

Appendices provided for reference and to give supporting/ contextual information:								
Provide only necessary detail, do not embed appendices, provide as separate reports								
Appendix no.	Appendix title							
1	Ward daily staffing							

Night							Fill Rate												
Care Staff			es	Registered midmives/nurses						D	Night		ght						
nonthly tual staff	Total monthly planned staff hours	y mon Iff plan	thly staff	otal hthly il staff ours	hly staff	aff	f	m pl	monthly planned	thly ned	Total monthly actual staff hours	f re	verage fill rate - egistered urses (%)	rate	age fill - care ff (%)	Averago rate registe nurses	- ered	Average fill rate - care staff (%)	
1092.5	1161.5	116	.3	13	3			1	1161.5	1.5	1092.5		87.4%		<mark>92.8</mark> %	10	D O.O %	94.1%	Nursing staff have worked clinical team and the multi safe staffing levels. Some
770.5	793.5	793	21	21	1				793.5	.5	770.5		97.5%	•	99.6 %	10	01.9%	97.1%	Ś *
1213.5	1363	13	0.5	00.5).5				1363	53	1213.5		91.3 %		91.9 %	12	20.8 %	89.0%	Non-direct patient care act wards.
684	690	69	4	44	1				690	0	684		95.2 %		97.8 %	g	9 0.3 %	99.1%	Non-direct patient care act wards.
1559.5	1732	17	2.5	2.5	.5				1732	32	1559.5		97.4 %		84.2 %	9	95.2%	90.0%	To maintain safe staffing lo patient care activities canc
655.5	690	69	L.5	1.5	.5				690	0	655.5		89.2 %		103.7 %	10	01. 7 %	95.0%	Safe staffing levels were n
648	702.5	702	ю	90)				702.5	.5	648		97.6 %		94.5 %	10	00.0%		Adhoc support was sought
759	770.5	770	21	21	1	_[770.5).5	759		95.8%		92.3 %	9	9 <mark>8.2</mark> %	98.5%	To maintain safe staffing l
793.5	690	69	5.5	5.5	.5				690	0	793.5		77.5%		100. 4%	9	95.0%	115.0%	Nursing staff have worked clinical team to maintain s
1023.5	701.5	701	57	67	7	$ \downarrow$			701.5	5	1023.5		99.9 %		90.1%	10	00.0%	145.9%	To maintain safe staffing l
1503	1380	13	58	58	3				1380	30	1503		74.8 %		129.2%	8	8 0.9 %	108.9%	To maintain safe staffing lo patient care activities cano
350.5	339	33	5.5	5.5	.5				339	9	350.5		99.3 %		94.3 %	9	93.4%	103.4%	Nursing staff have worked clinical team to maintain s
771.4	621	62	5.5	5.5	.5				621	1	771.4		94.0 %		94.3 %	10	01.8%	124.2%	clinical team to maintain s
690	713			9.5		_	\downarrow			-			93.7%		89.3 %		89.8%		patient care activities cand
908.5	1012	10	2.5	2.5	.5	\rightarrow			1012	12	908.5		90.4%		91.3%	8	84.6%		Support was sought form o
904.25	999	99	27	27	7				999	9	904.25		95.9%		105.6%	10	06.1 %		To maintain safe staffing le patient care activities canc
782	805	80	33	83	3				805	5	782		88.7 %		95.6 %	8	84.0%	97.1%	To maintain safe staffing lo patient care activities cano
828	839.5	_	9.5	9.5	.5								95.7 %		88.3 %		10.4%		patient activties have had
	805	-		83		\downarrow	+				816.5	_	93.0%	<u> </u>	98.8%		02.5%		Adhoc support was sought
	690	-		0.5		\rightarrow	╞	┣			740.5	_	95.9 %		82.0%		78.3%		Safe staffing levels were n
	667	_		83			_	-			816.5	_	104.4%		92.2%		70.0%		Safe staffing levels were n
18310.6	18165	33	13433	13433	13433	133	33	3	181	18165	18310.6	55	92.6 %		96.0 %	9	95.4%	100.8%	

Comment

ked additional hours, the ward manager has worked within the ultidisciplinary team have supported the ward in maintaining ne non-direct care activity was cancelled.

activities cancelled. The ward also provided cross cover to other

activities cancelled. The ward also provided cross cover to other

ng levels nursing staff worked additional hours and non-direct ancelled.

e maintained be altering skill mix.

ght from other wards to maintain safe staffing levels.

glevels nursing staff worked additional hours.

ed additional hours and the ward manager has worked in the n safe staffing levels.

glevels nursing staff worked additional hours.

g levels nursing staff worked additional hours and non-direct ancelled.

ed additional hours and the ward manager has worked in the n safe staffing levels.

ed additional hours and the ward manager has worked in the n safe staffing levels.

g levels nursing staff worked additional hours and non-direct ancelled.

m other wards to maintain safe staffing levels.

ng levels nursing staff worked additional hours and non-direct ancelled.

ng levels nursing staff worked additional hours and non-direct ancelled.

g levels nursing staff worked additional hours. On occasions ad to be cancelled or shortened.

ght from other wards to maintain safe staffing levels.

e maintained be altering skill mix.

e maintained be altering skill mix.